On the Structural Content of the Equivalence Principle:

Energy, Scaling, and the Coordinate View

Jorgen Karlsen

Abstract The equivalence principle is a foundational element of relativistic gravitation, yet its precise structural implications are often obscured by the distinction between geometric and energetic descriptions. In this paper, we examine the equivalence principle as a principle of *structural correspondence*: it identifies which features of relativistic kinematics must be shared between inertial motion and gravitation. We show that when described relative to a global coordinate time—the natural variable for energy conservation—the equivalence principle enforces a universal "additive" scaling structure. In this view, gravitational potential and kinematic motion enter the relativistic line element as parallel, subtractive contributions to the proper time budget. This perspective reconciles the "multiplicative" time dilation factors of standard pedagogy with a unified, norm-like energy conservation law. From this standpoint, gravitational time dilation and the associated relativistic mass–energy scaling emerge not merely as geometric consequences, but as necessary structural features of a unified energy budget. This formulation is shown to be dynamically consistent with General Relativity, reproducing the correct orbital precession provided the kinematic term is parameterized by coordinate velocity.

1. Introduction

The equivalence principle [1] occupies a central position in relativistic physics. In its most familiar formulation, it asserts that locally, the effects of gravitation are indistinguishable from those of uniform acceleration. This insight was instrumental in the development of general relativity and continues to guide intuition about gravitation, inertia, and spacetime structure.

However, standard pedagogical treatments [2] [3] often obscure the precise logical content of the principle, particularly regarding the relationship between acceleration, gravity, and time dilation. A common source of confusion is the role attributed to acceleration. In both special and general relativity, time dilation depends on *kinematic state variables*—relative velocity and gravitational potential—rather than on force or acceleration as such.

The present work takes this distinction seriously. Rather than treating acceleration as a causal agent, we interpret the equivalence principle as a principle of *structural identification*. It asserts that the relativistic scaling structure revealed by experiments in accelerated frames must seamlessly map onto gravitational environments.

This paper clarifies that this mapping, when analyzed through the lens of global energy conservation, suggests a unified "additive" scaling law. While standard General Relativity is often presented via multiplicative factors (combining gravitational redshift with local Lorentz factors), we demonstrate that this is an artifact of parameterizing motion by local shell time. When parameterized by the global coordinate time associated with energy conservation, the scaling becomes strictly additive. This "Coordinate View" validates an intuitive "rectangular box" energy structure where mass, kinetic energy, and potential energy transform as components of a single invariant norm.

2. The Equivalence Principle as a Structural Correspondence

To clarify the content of the equivalence principle, it is useful to distinguish between *causal agents* and *structural relations*. The principle does not assert that acceleration causes gravity; rather, it asserts that locally, gravitation and acceleration share the same relativistic kinematic structure.

Operationally, this implies a universality constraint: no local experiment involving clock rates, decay lifetimes, or inertial response can distinguish between a kinematic state established by acceleration and an equivalent state established by gravity. If the relativistic scaling structure identified in special relativity is to apply equally in gravitation, it must apply uniformly to all local physical processes.

This universality is non-trivial. It implies that the *form* of the scaling factor must be universal. Standard General Relativity satisfies this by modifying the spacetime metric. However, the metric structure itself can be viewed structurally: it defines how the "cost" of proper time is distributed between temporal progression and spatial motion.

3. Time Dilation and State Variables

Time dilation provides the most direct operational measure of this scaling. In special relativity, time dilation depends on velocity v. In general relativity, it depends on potential Φ. The equivalence principle requires that these two dependencies be mutually consistent.

Standard derivations often treat these effects hierarchically: gravity dilates the local frame, and velocity dilates the particle relative to that frame. This leads to the familiar "multiplicative" formula $d\tau = \sqrt{g_{tt}}dt \cdot \gamma^{-1}$. While geometrically correct, this hierarchical structure can obscure the deeper energetic symmetry. If we view the particle as a single system possessing a total energy budget, we should expect a scaling law where potential and kinetic contributions appear on equal footing.

4. Universality of Relativistic Scaling

From the structural interpretation, we derive a "Universality Constraint":

If the equivalence principle holds operationally, then the relativistic scaling structure revealed by relativistic kinematics must apply locally and instantaneously when gravitation establishes the corresponding kinematic state.

This implies that relativistic mass—defined as the total inertial energy of the system—must scale according to the same universal factor that governs time dilation. In the following sections and appendices, we demonstrate that this universal factor takes a natural, additive form when referenced to the global coordinate system, suggesting a unified "Energy Box" description of relativistic dynamics.

Appendix A: Structural Analogy Between Kinetic and Gravitational Energy

The analysis in the main text implies that kinetic motion and gravitational potential represent two distinct ways in which relativistic scaling departs from the rest-energy baseline.

In Special Relativity, the invariant energy relation is:

$$E_{tot}^2 = (pc)^2 + (m_0 c^2)^2 \qquad (A1)$$

This encodes the relativistic scaling via the Lorentz factor $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}}$.

The equivalence principle suggests a structural analogy for gravity.

Just as classical kinetic energy ($E_{Kin} \approx \frac{1}{2}mv^2$) appears as the first-order term in the Taylor series expansion of relativistic energy $(\gamma - 1)m_0c^2$, we see that classical potential energy ($E_{Pot} \approx -GMm/r$) corresponds to the first-order term of an analogous relativistic gravitational function. Substituting this classical form into the invariant energy relation recovers the exact Schwarzschild scaling factor [4], suggesting a deep structural continuity between classical conservation laws and relativistic geometry,

If we define the "relativistic gravitational potential contribution" as $E_{RelPot} = mc^2\sqrt{\frac{r_s}{r}}$. we then posit a generalized, unified norm:

$$E_{tot}^2 = E_{RelKin}^2 + E_{RelPot}^2 + E_0^2 \qquad (A2)$$

This gives a "rectangular box" structure which organizes kinetic and gravitational contributions as orthogonal components relative to the rest-energy baseline. The total energy is the space diagonal. While equation (A.2) is presented here as a structural ansatz based on the equivalence principle, Appendix B demonstrates that it is mathematically exact within the appropriate coordinate parameterization.

Appendix B: The Unified "Additive" Scaling and Orbital Dynamics

B.1 Motivation: Coordinate Time vs. Local Time

Standard treatments of General Relativity typically express proper time $d\tau$ using a multiplicative factorization of the gravity term $\sqrt{g_{tt}}dt$ times the kinematic term $1/\gamma$:

$$d\tau = \sqrt{g_{tt}}dt\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_{local}^2}{c^2}} \qquad (B1)$$

This structure arises because velocity v_{local} is measured relative to a local observer who is already time-dilated.

However, the total energy of a system is conserved relative to the global coordinate time t (provided the metric is static). To understand the "energetic" content of the equivalence principle, it is more natural to parameterize motion using the *coordinate velocity* v_{coord} together with the global time t.

B.2 Derivation of the Additive Formula

Starting from the standard Schwarzschild metric:

$$d\tau^2 = \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right)c^2dt^2 - \left[\frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{r_s}{r}} + r^2d\Omega^2\right] \qquad (B2)$$

We define the squared "System Velocity" v_{sys}^2 as the rate of spatial displacement through the curved metric per unit of coordinate time t:

$$v_{sys}^2 \equiv \frac{1}{1-\frac{r_s}{r}}\left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 + r^2\left(\frac{d\Omega}{dt}\right)^2 \qquad (B3)$$

This velocity definition incorporates the spatial curvature factor $(1 - r_s/r)^{-1}$ *required by the metric.*

Dividing the line element by c^2dt^2, we obtain an exact, unified scaling relation:

$$\left(\frac{d\tau}{dt}\right)^2 = \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right) - \frac{v_{sys}^2}{c^2} \qquad (B4)$$

Or, in terms of the scaling factor $\Gamma \equiv dt/d\tau$:

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_s}{r} - \frac{v_{sys}^2}{c^2}}} \qquad (B5)$$

B.3 Energetic Interpretation and Mass Scaling

This result vindicates the "additive" intuition proposed in Appendix A. The factors do not multiply; they subtract linearly from the unity of the time budget.

$$1 = \frac{r_s}{r} + \frac{v_{sys}^2}{c^2} + \frac{1}{\Gamma^2} \qquad (B6)$$

This corresponds directly to an energy conservation law where total energy $E_{tot} = \Gamma m_0 c^2$ is constant. The "potential" depth (r_s/r) and the "kinetic" intensity (v_{sys}) act as parallel drains on the proper time rate.

B.4 Consistency with Orbital Precession

A common critique of "energetic" or "scalar" theories of gravity is that they fail to predict the correct perihelion precession (e.g., they predict only 1/6th of the GR value) because they neglect spatial curvature.

However, the "Coordinate View" presented here **does not neglect spatial curvature**. The curvature is explicitly retained in the definition of v_{sys} (specifically in the radial term $\frac{dr^2}{1-r_s/r}$).

- If one interprets the additive scaling dynamically, asserting that the relativistic mass scales as $m(r, v) = \Gamma m_0$, one correctly reproduces the geodesic equations of motion of General Relativity.
- The "missing" precession terms often cited in scalar theories are fully recovered here because the "kinetic energy" term in the additive sum (v_{sys}) implicitly accounts for the stretching of the radial ruler.

B.5 Conclusion

We conclude that the "multiplicative" nature of time dilation in standard GR is an artifact of the local reference frame choice. When viewed through the lens of coordinate time—the natural frame for global energy accounting—the structural content of the equivalence principle manifests as a strictly additive scaling law. This confirms that the "Rectangular Box" energy structure is not merely a weak-field approximation, but a valid representation of the exact General Relativistic dynamics, provided the kinetic term is correctly coupled to the spatial metric.

References

[1] A. Einstein, Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie, Annalen der Physik 49, 769–822, 1916.

[2] B. Schutz, A First Course in General Relativity, Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[3] W. Rindler, Relativity: Special, General, and Cosmological, Oxford University Press, 2006.

[4] K. Schwarzschild, Über das Gravitationsfeld eines Massenpunktes nach der Einsteinschen Theorie, Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 189-196, 1916.